

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,211	07/11/2001	Yoshiaki Hiratsuka	2500.65689	9972	
75	7590 01/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive			SEFER, A	SEFER, AHMED N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/903,211	HIRATSUKA ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	A. Sefer	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	NATHAN J. FLYN	IN		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final of	chever is later. In Sh. 2000 See MPEP  prinate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) _ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-6 and 9-14</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 7 and 15-17.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Limitations added to claim 7 dramatically change the scope of the claim. These limitations have not been considered previously. A new search and substantial consideration is required.